Exponential stabilization of a neutrally delayed viscoelastic Timoshenko beam

Sebti Kerbal
Sultan Qaboos University

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Muscat, Oman

Email: skerbal@squ.edu.om
and

Nasser-eddine Tatar
King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals,
Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia
E-mail tatarn@kfupm.edu.sa

Abstract

A Timoshenko type beam subject to a viscoelastic damping in the rotational displacement component is considered. Taking into account a neutral type delay, we prove a fast stability result despite the previously observed destabilizing effect due to delays in such systems. The proof relies on the introduction of nine different functionals with which we modify the energy of the system. These functionals are carefully selected and adapted to cope with both the viscoelasticity as well as the neutral delay.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification: 35L05, 34K40

Key Words and Phrases: Exponential decay, modified energy, multiplier technique, neutral delay, stability, viscoelasticity

1 Introduction

The scientific community is witnessing a considerable growth of interest in problems involving time-delays. This is due mainly to the widespread appearances of such phenomena. Time-delays is peculiar to the dependence of the rate of change on the past history of the system. This is the case, for instance, whenever there is a displacement of material or transmission of information. The class of differential equations treating delays is known as Functional Differential Equations (FDEs) [5,10,14]. Models which necessitate the incorporation of the history of the (highest) derivative are commonly known as “Neutral Delay Differential Equations" (NDDEs).

NDDEs have shown to be very useful in describing complicated phenomena in many fields like: Control theory, mechanical systems, chemical processes, oscillation theory, biosciences, ... etc [5,10,14,33,34].

It was established that differential equations are sensitive to the presence of delays. Many researchers have demonstrated that (even initially stable) systems may be destabilized when taking into account delays [1,3,4,21]. This has forced scientists to find appropriate ways to fix this matter. The literature has been enriched by many results in this respect. Nevertheless, this class of NDDEs remains not well explored so far. We note here that delays may play a positive role in many cases. It has been well established that, in contrast to the sensitivity issue raised above, “large" neutral delays may stabilize systems. As a matter of fact, for better achievements, engineers have been adding neutral delays premeditatedly in the models.

We consider the neutrally retarded viscoelastic Timoshenko system

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{tt} = (\varphi_x + \psi)_x, \\ \left[\psi_t + \int_0^t k(t-s)\psi_t(s)ds\right]' = \psi_{xx} - \int_0^t g(t-s)\,\psi_{xx}(s)ds - (\varphi_x + \psi), \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

for $t > 0$, $0 < x < 1$ with initial and boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(0,t) = \varphi(1,t) = \psi(0,t) = \psi(1,t) = 0,\ t \geq 0, \\ \varphi(x,0) = \varphi_0(x),\ \varphi_t(x,0) = \varphi_1(x),\ 0 < x < 1, \\ \psi(x,0) = \psi_0(x),\ \psi_t(x,0) = \psi_1(x),\ 0 < x < 1, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where $\varphi_0(x)$, $\varphi_1(x)$, $\psi_0(x)$ and $\psi_1(x)$ are given initial data. Here φ is the transversal displacement of the beam from its equilibrium and ψ is the rotational displacement of the beam.

As its name indicates, the model is based on the standard Timoshenko beam model [27,33]. One of its component (the rotational displacement) is viscoelastic (described by the convolution involving the relaxation function g). The convolution term involving the kernel k describes the neutral delay.

This type of delay appears in the study of vibrating masses attached to an elastic bar and also (as the Euler equation) in some variational problems [12,13,16]. It appears also in the study of wave propagation in viscoelastic media [13,16,32]

$$u_{tt} + K * u_{tt} = v^2\nabla^2 u + \delta(t)\delta(x).$$

Moreover, it is used as a poroacoustic model in acoustic waves propagation

$$\rho * u_{tt} = \nabla.[K * \nabla u]$$

(see [11,12]).

In this work we shall prove that the neutral delay in (1) does not prevent the system to be stabilized by the viscoelastic term. In fact we show that the system is exponentially stable under certain conditions on k. We refer the reader to other kinds of stabilization in [2,15,22,23,29-31].

The existence and uniqueness of a solution in $\left[H^2(0,1)\times H_0^1(0,1)\right]^2$ (weak solution in $\left[H_0^1(0,1)\times L^2(0,1)\right]^2$) may be proved by combining the results in [6,7,19,34] and [8,18,24]. We shall assume therefore that the solution (and the initial data) is regular enough to justify our computation.

In the next section we present some preliminaries and introduce the different functionals that will be used in the sequel. Sections 3 contains some useful lemmas which will help in proving our theorem. The last section is devoted to the statement and proof of our result.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we present our assumptions on both kernels, introduce the energy functional and some other functionals.

(K) The kernel k is a nonnegative continuously differentiable and summable function satisfying

$$k'(t)\leq -\eta\, k(t),\ \int_0^{+\infty} e^{\gamma s}|k'(s)|ds<\infty,\ t\geq 0$$

for some positive constants η and γ.

The second condition is fulfilled if $k'(t)\geq -\tilde{\eta}\, k(t)$ with $\tilde{\eta}>\eta$ and $\gamma<\eta$.

(**G**) The relaxation function g is a nonnegative continuously differentiable and summable function satisfying $\bar{g}:=\int_0^\infty g(t)\,dt<1$ and there exists a constant $\xi>0$ such that

$$g'(t)\leq -\xi\, g(t),\ t\geq 0.$$

For $t_*>0$, we denote by

$$g_*=\int_0^{t_*} g(s)ds,\ \bar{g}=\int_0^\infty g(s)ds,\ \bar{k}=\int_0^\infty k(s)ds,\ k_*=\int_0^{t_*} k(s)ds.$$

We caution the reader here that these assumptions are not the weakest ones possible. They are considered here only for simplicity. They may be weakened as in the case of Timoshenko systems without neutral delays (see [9,17,20,25-28] for more general kernels).

We define the 'modified' energy (taking into account the viscoelasticity and the neutral delay) by

$$\begin{aligned} E(t):=\tfrac{1}{2}\{||\varphi_t||^2+||\psi_t||^2+\left(1-\textstyle\int_0^t g(s)ds\right)||\psi_x||^2+||\varphi_x+\psi||^2 \\ +(g\,\Box\,\psi_x)+\textstyle\int_0^t k(t-s)||\psi_t(s)||^2 ds\},\ \ t\geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $||.||$ denotes the L^2-norm and

$$(h\,\Box v)(t)=\int_0^t h(t-s)||v(t)-v(s)||^2 ds,\ t\geq 0.$$

Proposition 1: The modified energy $E(t)$ is non-increasing and uniformly bounded. More precisely, we have

$$E'(t) = \frac{1}{2}(k'\square\psi_t) + \frac{1}{2}(g'\square\psi_x) - \frac{k(t)}{2}||\psi_t||^2 - \frac{g(t)}{2}||\psi_x||^2 \leq 0, \; t \geq 0.$$

To prove the proposition we need to establish a useful identity.

Lemma 1: We have the following identity

$$\begin{gathered}\int_0^1 v(s) \int_0^t f(t-s)v_t(s)dsdx = -\tfrac{1}{2}(f'\square v)(t) + \tfrac{1}{2}\tfrac{d}{dt}\int_0^t f(t-s)||v(s)||^2 ds \\ +\tfrac{f(t)}{2}||v||^2 - f(t)\int_0^1 v(t)v(0)dx, \; t \geq 0\end{gathered}$$

for all $v \in C^1([0,\infty); L^2(0,1))$ and $f \in C^1[0,\infty)$.

Proof: The identity is a direct consequence of

$$\begin{gathered}(f'\square v)(t) = f(t)||v(t) - v(0)||^2 \\ -2\int_0^t f(s)\int_0^1 v_t(t-s)(v(t) - v(t-s))dxds, \; t \geq 0\end{gathered}$$

and

$$\begin{gathered}\tfrac{d}{dt}\int_0^t f(t-s)||v(s)||^2 ds = \tfrac{d}{dt}\int_0^t f(s)\,\|v(t-s)\|\,|^2 ds \\ = f(t)\,||v(0)||^2 + 2\int_0^1\int_0^t f(s)v_t(t-s)v(t-s)dsdx, \; t \geq 0.\end{gathered}$$

Proof: (of the Proposition)

A straightforward differentiation of $E(t)$, along solutions of (1)-(2), yields

$$\begin{gathered}E'(t) = -k(t)\int_0^1 \psi_t(0)\psi_t dx - \int_0^1 \psi_t \int_0^t k(t-s)\psi_{tt}(s)dsdx - \tfrac{g(t)}{2}\,||\psi_x||^2 \\ +\tfrac{1}{2}(g'\square\psi_x) + \tfrac{1}{2}\tfrac{d}{dt}\int_0^t k(t-s)||\psi_t(s)||^2 ds, \; t \geq 0.\end{gathered}$$

Then, applying Lemma 1, we find the relation in the statement of the proposition.

Next, we proceed with the introduction of several functionals and estimate their derivatives. These functionals are carefully selected and adapted to both the viscoelastic damping and the neutral delay.

$$\Lambda_1(t) := \int_0^1 \psi\left[\psi_t + \int_0^t k(t-s)\psi_t(s)ds\right]dx, \; \Lambda_2(t) := -\int_0^1 \varphi_t\varphi dx,$$

$$\begin{gathered}\Lambda_3(t) := \int_0^1 (\varphi_x + \psi)\left[\psi_t + \int_0^t k(t-s)\psi_t(s)ds\right]dx \\ +\int_0^1 \varphi_t\left[\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)ds\right]dx,\end{gathered}$$

$$\Lambda_4(t) := e^{-\gamma t}\int_0^t e^{\gamma s}\tilde{K}(t-s)\left\|\psi_x(s)\right\|^2 ds, \; \tilde{K}(t) := \int_t^{+\infty} e^{\gamma s}|k'(s)|ds,$$

for some $\gamma > 0$,

$$\Lambda_5(t) := \int_0^1 p(x)\left[\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)ds\right]\left[\psi_t + \int_0^t k(t-s)\psi_t(s)ds\right]dx,$$

and

$$\Lambda_6(t) := \int_0^1 p(x)\varphi_t\varphi_x dx,\ p(x) = -4x+2,\ 0 \le x \le 1,\ t \ge 0.$$

In addition to these functionals, we consider

$$\Lambda_7(t) := \int_0^1 \varphi_t \chi dx,\ t \ge 0$$

where χ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\chi_{xx} = \left[p(x)\left(\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)ds\right)\right]_x,\ 0 < x < 1, \\ \chi(0) = \chi(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\Lambda_8(t) := \int_0^1 \varphi_t q dx,\ t \ge 0$$

where q is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -q_{xx} = \psi_x,\ 0 < x < 1, \\ q(0) = q(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Lambda_9(t) := -\int_0^1 \left[\psi_t + \int_0^t k(t-s)\psi(s)ds\right]\left[\int_0^t g(t-s)(\psi(t)-\psi(s))ds\right] dx,\ t \ge 0.$$

The usefulness of these functionals will be clear in the next section.

3 Some lemmas

In this section, we prepare several lemmas containing useful estimations of the derivatives of the functionals introduced above in the second section.

Lemma 2: The derivative of $\Lambda_1(t)$, along solutions of (1)-(2), is estimated as follows

$$\begin{array}{c} \Lambda_1'(t) \le -(1-\bar{g}-\delta_0)\,\|\psi_x\|^2 + \left(1+\bar{k}+\delta_0\right)\|\psi_t\|^2 \\ -\int_0^1 \psi(\varphi_x+\psi)dx + \frac{\bar{k}}{4\delta_0}(k\Box\psi_t) + \frac{\bar{g}}{4\delta_0}(g\Box\psi_x),\ t \ge 0,\ \delta_0 > 0. \end{array}$$

Proof: Using the system (1)-(2) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1'(t) = & \int_0^1 \psi_t\left[\left(1+\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)\psi_t + \int_0^t k(t-s)\left(\psi_t(s)-\psi_t(t)\right)ds\right] dx \\ & + \int_0^1 \psi[\psi_{xx} - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_{xx}(s)ds - (\varphi_x+\psi)]\,dx \\ = & \left(1+\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)\|\psi_t\|^2 + \int_0^1 \psi_t \int_0^t k(t-s)\left(\psi_t(s)-\psi_t(t)\right)dsdx \\ & - \|\psi_x\|^2 + \int_0^1 \psi_x \int_0^t g(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)dsdx - \int_0^1 \psi(\varphi_x+\psi)dx,\ t \ge 0. \end{aligned}$$

The estimations (using the Young inequality)

$$\int_0^1 \psi_t \int_0^t k(t-s)\ (\psi_t(s)-\psi_t(t))\, dsdx \leq \frac{\bar{k}}{4\delta_0}(k\Box\psi_t)+\delta_0 \left\|\psi_t\right\|^2,\ \delta_0>0$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c} \int_0^1 \psi_x \int_0^t g(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)dsdx \\ = \int_0^1 \psi_x \int_0^t g(t-s)\,[\,\psi_x(s)-\psi_x(t)]\,dsdx + \left(\int_0^t g(s)ds\right)\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2 \\ \leq \delta_0 \left\|\psi_x\right\|^2 + \frac{\bar{g}}{4\delta_0}(g\Box\psi_x) + \left(\int_0^t g(s)ds\right)\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2,\ \delta_0>0 \end{array}$$

conclude.

Lemma 3: The derivative of $\Lambda_2(t)$ is equal to

$$\Lambda_2'(t) = -\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2 + \left\|\varphi_x+\psi\right\|^2 - \int_0^1 \psi[\varphi_x+\psi]dx,\ t\geq 0.$$

Proof: Clearly

$$\Lambda_2'(t) = -\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2 - \int_0^1 \varphi[\varphi_x+\psi]_x\, dx = -\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2 + \int_0^1 \varphi_x[\varphi_x+\psi]dx,\ t\geq 0$$

and therefore

$$\Lambda_2'(t) = -\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2 + \left\|\varphi_x+\psi\right\|^2 - \int_0^1 \psi[\varphi_x+\psi]dx,\ t\geq 0.$$

Lemma 4: The derivative of the functional $\Lambda_3(t)$ is evaluated by

$$\begin{array}{c} \Lambda_3'(t) \leq \frac{15}{4}\left[\left(\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)^2(1) + \left(\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)^2(0)\right] \\ +\frac{1}{15}\left[\varphi_x^2(1)+\varphi_x^2(0)\right] - \left\|\varphi_x+\psi\right\|^2 + (1+\delta_0+\bar{k})\left\|\psi_t\right\|^2 + \frac{\bar{k}}{4\delta_0}(k\Box\psi_t) \\ +\left[\frac{1}{4}+\frac{k(t)}{2}+\delta_0+g(t)\right]\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\left(8k^2(0)+g(t)\right)\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2 \\ +\frac{k(t)}{2}\left\|\psi_{0x}\right\|^2 + 2k(0)\int_0^t \left|k'(t-s)\right|\left\|\psi_x(s)\right\|^2 ds + \frac{g(0)}{4\delta_0}(\left|g'\right|\Box\psi_x),\ t\geq 0. \end{array}$$

Proof: It is easy to see that from the equations in (1)

$$\begin{array}{c} \Lambda_3'(t) = \int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)[\psi_{xx} - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_{xx}(s)ds - (\varphi_x+\psi)]dx + \int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)_t \\ \times\left[\psi_t + \int_0^t k(t-s)\psi_t(s)ds\right]dx + \int_0^1 \varphi_{tt}\left[\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right]dx \\ +\int_0^1 \varphi_t\left[\psi_{xt} - g(0)\psi_x(t) - \int_0^t g'(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right]dx,\ t\geq 0. \end{array}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{array}{c} \Lambda_3'(t) = \left[\varphi_x\left(\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)\right]_0^1 - \int_0^1 \psi_x(\varphi_x+\psi)_x dx \\ +\int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)_x\int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)dsdx - \left\|\varphi_x+\psi\right\|^2 + \int_0^1 \psi_t(\varphi_x+\psi)_t dx \\ +\int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)_t\int_0^t k(t-s)\psi_t(s)dsdx + \int_0^1\left[\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right](\varphi_x+\psi)_x dx \\ +\int_0^1 \varphi_t\left[\psi_{xt} - g(0)\psi_x - \int_0^t g'(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right]dx \end{array} \tag{4}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c}\int_0^1 \varphi_{xt} \int_0^t k(t-s)\psi_t(s)dsdx = \int_0^1 \varphi_{xt} \left[k(t-s)\psi(s)|_0^t + \int_0^t k'(t-s)\psi(s)dsdx \right] \\ = \int_0^1 \varphi_{xt} \left[k(0)\psi(t) - k(t)\psi(0)\right] dx - \int_0^1 \varphi_t \int_0^t k'(t-s)\psi_x(s)dsdx \\ = -\int_0^1 \varphi_t \left[k(0)\psi_x(t) - k(t)\psi_x(0)\right] dx - \int_0^1 \varphi_t \int_0^t k'(t-s)\psi_x(s)dsdx \\ \leq \frac{1}{4}\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2 + 2k^2(0)\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2 + \frac{k(t)}{2}(\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2 + \left\|\psi_x(0)\right\|^2) \\ +2k(0)\int_0^t \left|k'(t-s)\right| \left\|\psi_x(s)\right\|^2 ds\,,\ t \geq 0. \end{array} \tag{5}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{array}{c}\int_0^1 \varphi_t \left[-g(0)\psi_x - \int_0^t g'(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right] dx \\ = \int_0^1 \varphi_t \left\{-g(0)\psi_x + g(0)\psi_x - g(t)\psi_x - \int_0^t g'(t-s)\left[\psi_x(s) - \psi_x(t)\right] ds\right\} dx \\ \leq (g(t) + \delta_0)\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2 + \frac{g(t)}{4}\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2 + \frac{g(0)}{4\delta_0}(\left|g'\right| \Box\psi_x)\,, \end{array} \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}\left[\varphi_x\left(\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)\right]_0^1 \leq \frac{1}{15}\left[\varphi_x^2(1) + \varphi_x^2(0)\right] \\ +\frac{15}{4}\left[\left(\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)^2(1) + \left(\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)^2(0)\right], \end{array} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c}\int_0^1 \psi_t \int_0^t k(t-s)\psi_t(s)dsdx \\ = \int_0^1 \psi_t \int_0^t k(t-s)\left[\psi_t(s) - \psi_t(t)\right] dsdx + \left(\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)\left\|\psi_t\right\|^2 \\ \leq \left(\delta_0 + \int_0^t k(s)ds\right)\left\|\psi_t\right\|^2 + \frac{\bar{k}}{4\delta_0}(k\Box\psi_t),\ t \geq 0. \end{array} \tag{8}$$

Taking into account (5)-(8) into (4) we obtain

$$\begin{array}{c}\Lambda_3'(t) \leq \frac{15}{4}\left[\left(\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)^2(1) + \left(\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)^2(0)\right] \\ +\frac{1}{15}\left[\varphi_x^2(1) + \varphi_x^2(0)\right] - \left\|\varphi_x + \psi\right\|^2 + (1 + \delta_0 + \bar{k})\left\|\psi_t\right\|^2 + \frac{\bar{k}}{4\delta_0}(k\Box\psi_t) \\ + \left[\frac{k(t)}{2} + \delta_0 + g(t) + \frac{1}{4}\right]\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2 + \left(2k^2(0) + \frac{g(t)}{4}\right)\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2 + \frac{k(t)}{2}\left\|\psi_{0x}\right\|^2 \\ +2k(0)\int_0^t \left|k'(t-s)\right| \left\|\psi_x(s)\right\|^2 ds + \frac{g(0)}{4\delta_0}(\left|g'\right| \Box\psi_x) \end{array}$$

for $t \geq 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$.

Lemma 5: The following identity holds

$$\Lambda_4'(t) = -\gamma\Lambda_4(t) + \tilde{K}(0)\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2 - \int_0^t \left|k'(t-s)\right| \left\|\psi_x(s)\right\|^2 ds,\ t \geq 0.$$

Lemma 6: The derivative of Λ_5, along solutions of (1)-(2), satisfies

$$\Lambda_5'(t) \leq -\left[\left(\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)^2(1) + \left(\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)^2(0)\right]$$
$$-\int_0^1 p(x)(\varphi_x+\psi)\left[\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right]dx + 2k(t)\delta_0\|\psi_{0x}\|^2$$
$$+\left[4\left(\tfrac{1}{2}+\delta_0\right) + 6k(0)\delta_0 + 2(1+\bar{k})\delta_0 g(t) + g(t)\right]\|\psi_x\|^2 + 2\bar{g}\left(1+\tfrac{1}{2\delta_0}\right)(g\Box\psi_x)$$
$$+2\left[1+\tfrac{3(k(0)+k(t)+1)+\bar{k}g(t)}{4\delta_0} + \delta_0\left(1+\bar{k}\right)^2\right]\|\psi_t\|^2 + \tfrac{g(0)}{\delta_0}(|g'|\Box\psi_x)$$
$$+6\delta_0 k(0)\int_0^t |k'|(t-s)\|\psi_x(s)\|^2 ds + \bar{k}\left(g(t)+2\delta_0\right)(k\Box\psi_t),\ t\geq 0,\ \delta_0>0.$$

Proof: From the second equation in (1) we see that

$$\Lambda_5'(t) = \int_0^1 p(x)\left[\psi_{xx} - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_{xx}(s)ds - (\varphi_x+\psi)\right]$$
$$\times\left[\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right]dx$$
$$+\int_0^1 p(x)\left[\psi_t + \int_0^t k(t-s)\psi_t(s)ds\right]\left[\psi_{xt} - g(0)\psi_x - \int_0^t g'(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right]dx$$
$$=\tfrac{1}{2}\int_0^1 p(x)\tfrac{d}{dx}\left[\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right]^2 dx - \int_0^1 p(x)(\varphi_x+\psi)$$
$$\times\left[\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right]dx + \tfrac{1}{2}\int_0^1 p(x)\tfrac{d\psi_t^2}{dx}dx$$
$$+\int_0^1 p(x)\psi_{xt}\int_0^t k(t-s)\psi_t(s)dsdx$$
$$-\int_0^1 p(x)\left[\left(1+\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)\psi_t + \int_0^t k(t-s)\left(\psi_t(s)-\psi_t(t)\right)ds\right]$$
$$\times\left[g(t)\psi_x + \int_0^t g'(t-s)\left[\psi_x(s)-\psi_x(t)\right]ds\right]dx,\ t\geq 0.$$

By integration by parts, we may write for $t \geq 0$

$$\Lambda_5'(t) \leq \tfrac{1}{2}\left[p(x)\left(\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)^2\right]_0^1 + 4\left(\tfrac{1}{2}+\delta_0\right)\|\psi_x\|^2$$
$$+2\bar{g}\left(1+\tfrac{1}{2\delta_0}\right)(g\Box\psi_x) - \int_0^1 p(x)(\varphi_x+\psi)\left[\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right]dx$$
$$+\tfrac{1}{2}\left[p(x)\psi_t^2\right]_0^1 - \tfrac{1}{2}\int_0^1 p'(x)\psi_t^2 dx + \int_0^1 p(x)\psi_{xt}\int_0^t k(t-s)\psi_t(s)dsdx$$
$$-g(t)\left(1+\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)\int_0^1 p(x)\psi_t\psi_x dx$$
$$-g(t)\int_0^1 p(x)\psi_x\int_0^t k(t-s)\left(\psi_t(s)-\psi_t(t)\right)dsdx$$
$$-\left(1+\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)\int_0^1 p(x)\psi_t\left[\int_0^t g'(t-s)\left[\psi_x(s)-\psi_x(t)\right]ds\right]dx$$
$$-\int_0^1 p(x)\left[\int_0^t k(t-s)\left(\psi_t(s)-\psi_t(t)\right)ds\right]\left[\int_0^t g'(t-s)\left[\psi_x(s)-\psi_x(t)\right]ds\right]dx,$$

and applying Young inequality, we get

$$\Lambda_5'(t) \leq -\left[\left(\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)^2(1) + \left(\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)^2(0)\right]$$
$$-\int_0^1 p(x)(\varphi_x+\psi)\left[\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right]dx + 4\left(\tfrac{1}{2}+\delta_0\right)\|\psi_x\|^2$$
$$+2\bar{g}\left(1+\tfrac{1}{2\delta_0}\right)(g\Box\psi_x) + 2\|\psi_t\|^2 + \int_0^1 p(x)\psi_{xt}\int_0^t k(t-s)\psi_t(s)dsdx$$
$$+\tfrac{g(t)}{2\delta_0}\left(1+\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)\|\psi_t\|^2 + 2\delta_0 g(t)\left(1+\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)\|\psi_x\|^2 + g(t)\|\psi_x\|^2$$
$$+\bar{k}g(t)(k\Box\psi_t) + 2\delta_0\left(1+\bar{k}\right)^2\|\psi_t\|^2 + \tfrac{g(0)}{2\delta_0}(|g'|\Box\psi_x)$$
$$+2\delta_0\bar{k}(k\Box\psi_t) + \tfrac{g(0)}{2\delta_0}(|g'|\Box\psi_x),\ t\geq 0.$$

The sixth term in the right hand side of the previous relation is handled in the following way

$$\begin{array}{c}\int_0^1 p(x)\psi_{xt}\int_0^t k(t-s)\psi_t(s)dsdx \\ =\int_0^1 p(x)\psi_{xt}\left[k(t-s)\psi(s)|_0^t+\int_0^t k'(t-s)\psi(s)ds\right]dx \\ =\int_0^1 p(x)\psi_{xt}\left[k(0)\psi(t)-k(t)\psi(0)+\int_0^t k'(t-s)\psi(s)ds\right]dx \\ =-k(0)\int_0^1 p(x)\psi_t\psi_x dx-k(0)\int_0^1 p'(x)\psi_t\psi dx+k(t)\int_0^1 p(x)\psi_t\psi_x(0)dx \\ +k(t)\int_0^1 p'(x)\psi_t\psi(0)dx-\int_0^1 p(x)\psi_t\int_0^t k'(t-s)\psi_x(s)dsdx \\ -\int_0^1 p'(x)\psi_t\int_0^t k'(t-s)\psi(s)dsdx,\ t\geq 0.\end{array}$$

Passing to the estimations, we find

$$\begin{array}{c}\int_0^1 p(x)\psi_{xt}\int_0^t k(t-s)\psi_t(s)dsdx \\ \leq \frac{3(k(0)+k(t)+1)}{2\delta_0}\left\|\psi_t\right\|^2+6k(0)\delta_0\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2+2k(t)\delta_0\left\|\psi_{0x}\right\|^2 \\ +6\delta_0 k(0)\int_0^t\left|k'\right|(t-s)\left\|\psi_x(s)\right\|^2 ds,\ t\geq 0,\ \delta_0>0.\end{array}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{array}{c}\Lambda_5'(t)\leq-\left[\left(\psi_x-\int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)^2(1)+\left(\psi_x-\int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)^2(0)\right] \\ -\int_0^1 p(x)(\varphi_x+\psi)\left[\psi_x-\int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right]dx+2k(t)\delta_0\left\|\psi_{0x}\right\|^2 \\ +\left[4\left(\frac{1}{2}+\delta_0\right)+6k(0)\delta_0+2\left(1+\bar{k}\right)\delta_0 g(t)+g(t)\right]\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2+2\bar{g}\left(1+\frac{1}{2\delta_0}\right)(g\Box\psi_x) \\ +2\left[1+\frac{3(k(0)+k(t)+1)+\left(1+\bar{k}\right)g(t)}{4\delta_0}+\delta_0\left(1+\bar{k}\right)^2\right]\left\|\psi_t\right\|^2+\frac{g(0)}{\delta_0}(\left|g'\right|\Box\psi_x) \\ +6\delta_0 k(0)\int_0^t\left|k'\right|(t-s)\left\|\psi_x(s)\right\|^2 ds+\bar{k}\left(g(t)+2\delta_0\right)(k\Box\psi_t),\ t\geq 0.\end{array}$$

Lemma 7: It holds, for the derivative of $\Lambda_6(t)$, that

$$\begin{array}{c}\Lambda_6'(t)\leq 3\left\|\varphi_x+\psi\right\|^2-[\varphi_x^2(1)+\varphi_x^2(0)]+\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2 \\ -4\int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)\psi dx+2\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2,\ t\geq 0.\end{array}$$

Proof: A simple differentiation gives

$$\begin{array}{c}\Lambda_6'(t)=\int_0^1 p(x)(\varphi_x+\psi)_x\varphi_x\,dx+\int_0^1 p(x)\varphi_t\varphi_{xt}dx \\ =\int_0^1 p(x)(\varphi_x+\psi)_x\varphi_x dx+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 p(x)\frac{d\varphi_t^2}{dx}dx \\ =\int_0^1 p(x)(\varphi_x+\psi)_x\varphi_x dx+\frac{1}{2}[p(x)\varphi_t^2]_0^1-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 p'(x)\varphi_t^2 dx \\ =\int_0^1 p(x)(\varphi_x+\psi)_x\left(\varphi_x+\psi\right)dx-\int_0^1 p(x)(\varphi_x+\psi)_x\psi dx \\ -[\varphi_t^2(1)+\varphi_t^2(0)]+2\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2 \\ =\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 p(x)\frac{d(\varphi_x+\psi)^2}{dx}dx+\int_0^1 p(x)(\varphi_x+\psi)\psi_x dx \\ -4\int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)\psi dx+2\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2,\ t\geq 0.\end{array}$$

Then, using integration by parts and Young inequality we arrive at

$$\begin{array}{c}\Lambda_6'(t)\leq-[\varphi_x^2(1)+\varphi_x^2(0)]+2\left\|\varphi_x+\psi\right\|^2+\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2+\left\|\varphi_x+\psi\right\|^2 \\ +2\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2-4\int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)\psi dx\end{array}$$

or

$$\Lambda_6'(t) \leq 3\left\|\varphi_x+\psi\right\|^2 - [\varphi_x^2(1)+\varphi_x^2(0)] + \left\|\psi_x\right\|^2$$
$$-4\int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)\psi dx + 2\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2,\ t\geq 0.$$

Lemma 8: We evaluate the derivative of $\Lambda_7(t)$ as follows

$$\Lambda_7'(t) \leq \tfrac{5}{\delta_0}\left\|\psi_t\right\|^2 + 3\delta_0\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2 + \tfrac{4g(0)}{\delta_0}(|g'|\,\Box\psi_x) + \tfrac{\bar{g}}{\delta_0}(g\Box\psi_x)$$
$$+2g(t)\left(\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2+\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2\right) + 10\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2 + \left(\tfrac{1}{10}+\delta_0\right)\left\|\varphi_x+\psi\right\|^2$$
$$+\int_0^1 p(x)(\varphi_x+\psi)\left(\psi_x - \int_0^t g(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)ds\right)dx,\ t\geq 0,\ \delta_0>0.$$

Proof: Differentiating $\Lambda_7(t)$, taking into account (1), we get for $t\geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_7'(t) &= \int_0^1[\varphi_x+\psi]_x\chi dx + \int_0^1\varphi_t\chi_t dx = -\int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)\chi_x dx + \int_0^1\varphi_t\chi_t dx\\ &= \int_0^1\varphi_t\chi_t dx + \int_0^1 p(x)(\varphi_x+\psi)\left(\psi_x-\int_0^t g(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)ds\right)dx\\ &\quad -\int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)\int_0^1 p(z)\left(\psi_x-\int_0^t g(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)ds\right)dzdx.\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

For the first term in (9) we have

$$\int_0^1\varphi_t\chi_t dx = \int_0^1\left(\frac{d}{dx}\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\chi_t dx = \left[\chi_t\int_0^x\varphi_t dx\right]_0^1 - \int_0^1\chi_{tx}\int_0^x\varphi_t dydx$$

and

$$-\chi_{tx} = p(x)\left(\psi_{xt} - g(0)\,\psi_x - \int_0^t g'(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)ds\right)$$
$$-\int_0^1 p(z)\left(\psi_{xt}-g(0)\,\psi_x-\int_0^t g'(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)ds\right)dz,\ t\geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^1\varphi_t\chi_t dx = \int_0^1 p(x)\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\left(\psi_{xt}-g(0)\,\psi_x-\int_0^t g'(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)ds\right)dx$$
$$-\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\int_0^1 p(z)\left(\psi_{xt}-g(0)\,\psi_x-\int_0^t g'(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)ds\right)dzdx$$
$$=\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\left\{\tfrac{d}{dx}[p(x)\psi_t] + 4\psi_t - p(x)\left[g(0)\psi_x+\int_0^t g'(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)ds\right]\right\}dx$$
$$-\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\left(\int_0^1 p(z)\psi_{xt}dz\right)dx$$
$$+\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\int_0^1 p(z)\left(g(0)\,\psi_x+\int_0^t g'(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)ds\right)dzdx,\ t\geq 0$$

or

$$\int_0^1\varphi_t\chi_t dx = -\int_0^1 p(x)\psi_t\varphi_t dx + 4\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\psi_t dx$$
$$-g(0)\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)p(x)\psi_x dx - \int_0^1 p(x)\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\int_0^t g'(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)dsdx$$
$$-4\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\left(\int_0^1\psi_t dy\right)dx + g(0)\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\int_0^1 p(z)\psi_x dx$$
$$+\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\int_0^1 p(z)\int_0^t g'(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)dsdx,\ t\geq 0.$$

This identity may also be written as

$$\int_0^1\varphi_t\chi_t dx = -\int_0^1 p(x)\psi_t\varphi_t dx + 4\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\psi_t dx + \int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)p(x)$$
$$\times\int_0^t g'(t-s)\,[\psi_x(t)-\psi_x(s)]\,dsdx - g(t)\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)p(x)\psi_x dx$$
$$-4\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\left(\int_0^1\psi_t dy\right)dx + g(t)\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\int_0^1 p(z)\psi_x dx$$
$$+\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\int_0^1 p(z)\int_0^t g'(t-s)\,[\psi_x(s)-\psi_x(t)]\,dsdzdx,\ t\geq 0$$

and estimated as follows

$$\begin{array}{c}\int_0^1 \varphi_t \chi_t dx \leq \frac{5}{\delta_0}\left\|\psi_t\right\|^2+3\delta_0\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2+\frac{4g(0)}{\delta_0}(|g'|\,\square\psi_x) \\ +2g(t)\left(\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2+\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2\right),\ t\geq 0,\ \delta_0>0.\end{array} \tag{10}$$

Substituting this estimation (10) in (9) leads to

$$\begin{array}{c}\Lambda_7'(t)=\int_0^1[\varphi_x+\psi]_x\chi dx+\int_0^1\varphi_t\chi_t dx=-\int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)\chi_x dx+\int_0^1\varphi_t\chi_t dx \\ \leq \frac{5}{\delta_0}\left\|\psi_t\right\|^2+3\delta_0\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2+\frac{4g(0)}{\delta_0}(|g'|\,\square\psi_x)+2g(t)\left(\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2+\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2\right) \\ +\int_0^1 p(x)(\varphi_x+\psi)\left(\psi_x-\int_0^t g(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)ds\right)dx-\int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi) \\ \times\int_0^1[p(z)\left[\left(1-\int_0^t g(s)ds\right)\psi_x+\int_0^t g(t-s)\ [\psi_x(t)-\psi_x(s)]\,ds\right]dzdx.\end{array}$$

The rest of the terms are evaluated in the following manner

$$\begin{array}{c}\Lambda_7'(t)\leq\frac{5}{\delta_0}\left\|\psi_t\right\|^2+3\delta_0\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2+\frac{4g(0)}{\delta_0}(|g'|\,\square\psi_x)+2g(t)\left(\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2+\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2\right) \\ +\frac{\bar{g}}{\delta_0}(g\square\psi_x)+10\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2+\left(\frac{1}{10}+\delta_0\right)\left\|\varphi_x+\psi\right\|^2 \\ +\int_0^1 p(x)(\varphi_x+\psi)\left(\psi_x-\int_0^t g(t-s)\,\psi_x(s)ds\right)dx,\ t\geq 0,\ \delta_0>0.\end{array}$$

Lemma 9: The derivative of Λ_8, along solutions of (1)-(2), satisfies

$$\Lambda_8'(t)\leq\int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)\psi dx+\delta_0\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2+\frac{1}{\delta_0}\left\|\psi_t\right\|^2,\ t\geq 0,\ \delta_0>0.$$

Proof: A differentiation of $\Lambda_8(t)$ gives

$$\Lambda_8'(t)=\int_0^1\varphi_{tt}q dx+\int_0^1\varphi_t q_t dx=\int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)_x q dx+\int_0^1\varphi_t q_t dx,\ t\geq 0.$$

Next, we have

$$\int_0^1\varphi_t q_t dx=\int_0^1\left(\frac{d}{dx}\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)q_t dx=\left[q_t\int_0^x\varphi_t dx\right]_0^1-\int_0^1 q_{tx}\int_0^x\varphi_t dy dx$$

and

$$\int_0^1\varphi_t q_t dx=-\int_0^1 q_{tx}\int_0^x\varphi_t dy dx=\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\left(\psi_t-\int_0^1\psi_t dz\right)dx.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{array}{c}\Lambda_8'(t)=-\int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)q_x dx+\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\left(\psi_t-\int_0^1\psi_t dz\right)dx \\ =\int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)\left(\psi-\int_0^1\psi dz\right)dx+\int_0^1\left(\int_0^x\varphi_t dy\right)\left(\psi_t-\int_0^1\psi_t dz\right)dx,\ t\geq 0\end{array}$$

and

$$\Lambda_8'(t)\leq\int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)\psi dx+\delta_0\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2+\frac{1}{\delta_0}\left\|\psi_t\right\|^2,\ t\geq 0,\ \delta_0>0.$$

Lemma 10: The derivative of $\Lambda_9(t)$ satisfies

$$\Lambda_9'(t) \le \delta_1 \|\psi_x\|^2 + \bar{g}\left(\frac{1}{2\delta_1}+1\right)(g\Box\psi_x) + \delta_1\|\varphi_x+\psi\|^2 + \frac{(2+\bar{k})g(0)}{4\delta_1}(|g'|\,\Box\psi_x)$$
$$+\left[\left(1+\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)\left(\delta_1 - \int_0^t g(s)ds\right)+\delta_1\bar{g}\right]\|\psi_t\|^2 + \bar{k}\left(\delta_1+\frac{\bar{g}}{4\delta_1}\right)(k\Box\psi_t)$$

for $t \ge 0$ and $\delta_1 > 0$.

Proof: We have

$$\Lambda_9'(t) = -\int_0^1\left[\psi_{xx} - \int_0^t g(t-s)\,\psi_{xx}(s)ds - (\varphi_x+\psi)\right]$$
$$\times\left[\int_0^t g(t-s)(\psi(t)-\psi(s))ds\right]dx$$
$$-\int_0^1\left[\left(1+\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)\psi_t + \int_0^t k(t-s)\left(\psi_t(s)-\psi_t(t)\right)ds\right]$$
$$\times\left[\int_0^t g'(t-s)(\psi(t)-\psi(s))ds + \psi_t(t)\int_0^t g(s)ds\right]dx$$

or

$$\Lambda_9'(t) = \left(1-\int_0^t g(s)ds\right)\int_0^1 \psi_x\left[\int_0^t g(t-s)(\psi_x(t)-\psi_x(s))ds\right]dx$$
$$+\int_0^1\left[\int_0^t g(t-s)(\psi_x(t)-\psi_x(s))ds\right]^2dx$$
$$+\int_0^1(\varphi_x+\psi)\left[\int_0^t g(t-s)(\psi(t)-\psi(s))ds\right]dx - \left(1+\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)$$
$$\times\int_0^1\psi_t\left[\int_0^t g'(t-s)(\psi(t)-\psi(s))ds\right]dx - \left(1+\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)\left(\int_0^t g(s)ds\right)\|\psi_t\|^2$$
$$-\int_0^1\left(\int_0^t k(t-s)\left(\psi_t(s)-\psi_t(t)\right)ds\right)\int_0^t g'(t-s)(\psi(t)-\psi(s))dsdx$$
$$-\left(\int_0^t g(s)ds\right)\int_0^1\psi_t\int_0^t k(t-s)\left(\psi_t(s)-\psi_t(t)\right)dsdx,\ t\ge 0.$$

This may be estimated as follows

$$\Lambda_9'(t) \le \delta_1\left(1-\int_0^t g(s)ds\right)\|\psi_x\|^2 + \left(1-\int_0^t g(s)ds\right)\frac{\bar{g}}{4\delta_1}(g\Box\psi_x) + \bar{g}(g\Box\psi_x)$$
$$+\delta_1\|\varphi_x+\psi\|^2 + \frac{\bar{g}}{4\delta_1}(g\Box\psi_x) + \delta_1\left(1+\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)\|\psi_t\|^2$$
$$+\frac{g(0)}{4\delta_1}\left(1+\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)(|g'|\,\Box\psi_x) - \left(1+\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)\left(\int_0^t g(s)ds\right)\|\psi_t\|^2$$
$$+\delta_1\bar{k}(k\Box\psi_t) + \frac{g(0)}{4\delta_1}(|g'|\,\Box\psi_x) + \delta_1\left(\int_0^t g(s)ds\right)\|\psi_t\|^2$$
$$+\frac{\bar{k}}{4\delta_1}\left(\int_0^t g(s)ds\right)(k\Box\psi_t),\ \delta_0>0$$

or, for $t \ge 0$

$$\Lambda_9'(t) \le \delta_0 \|\psi_x\|^2 + \bar{g}\left(\frac{1}{2\delta_0}+1\right)(g\Box\psi_x) + \delta_0\|\varphi_x+\psi\|^2 + \frac{(2+\bar{k})g(0)}{4\delta_0}(|g'|\,\Box\psi_x)$$
$$+\left[\left(1+\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)\left(\delta_0 - \int_0^t g(s)ds\right)+\delta_0\bar{g}\right]\|\psi_t\|^2 + \bar{k}\left(\delta_0+\frac{\bar{g}}{4\delta_0}\right)(k\Box\psi_t).$$

4 Stability of the system

In this section, with the help of the previous lemmas, we prove that the energy is exponentially decaying to zero. We define the functional

$$L(t) := E(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{9}\lambda_i\Lambda_i(t),\ t\ge 0$$

where λ_i, $i=1,...,9$ are positive constants to be determined later. It is easy to see that $L(t)$ is equivalent to $E(t)+\lambda_4\Lambda_4(t)$, that is

$$A_1E(t)\le L(t)\le A_2E(t)+\lambda_4\Lambda_4(t),\ t\ge 0 \tag{11}$$

for some positive constants A_1 and A_2.

Theorem: Under the above assumptions (**G**) and (**K**) on the kernels, we have

$$E(t)\le Me^{-Ct},\ t\ge 0 \tag{12}$$

for some positive constants M and C.

Proof: Thanks to Proposition 1 (on the derivative of $E(t)$) and Lemmas 2 to 10 (on the derivatives of the functional Λ_1 to Λ_9), we find

$$\begin{gathered}L'(t)\le B_1(k\Box\psi_t)+B_2(|g'|\Box\psi_x)+B_3\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2+B_4\left\|\psi_t\right\|^2+B_5\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2\\ +B_6\left\|\varphi_x+\psi\right\|^2-\tfrac{\xi}{4}(g\Box\psi_x)+B_7\Lambda_4(t)+B_8k(t)\left\|\psi_{0x}\right\|^2+B_9\int_0^1\psi(\varphi_x+\psi)dx\\ +B_{10}\int_0^t\left|k'\right|(t-s)\left\|\psi_x(s)\right\|^2ds+B_{11}\left[\varphi_x^2(1)+\varphi_x^2(0)\right]\\ +B_{12}\left[\left(\psi_x-\int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)^2(1)+\left(\psi_x-\int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right)^2(0)\right]\\ +B_{13}\int_0^1 p(x)(\varphi_x+\psi)\left[\psi_x-\int_0^t g(t-s)\psi_x(s)ds\right]dx\end{gathered} \tag{13}$$

where

$$B_1=-\frac{\eta}{2}+\bar{k}\left[\frac{\lambda_1+\lambda_3}{4\delta_0}+\lambda_5\left(g(t)+2\delta_0\right)+\lambda_9\left(\delta_1+\frac{\bar{g}}{4\delta_1}\right)\right],$$

$$\begin{gathered}B_2=g(0)\left[\tfrac{\lambda_3+4(\lambda_5+4\lambda_7)}{4\delta_0}+\tfrac{2+\bar{k}}{4\delta_1}\lambda_9\right]\\ +\tfrac{\bar{g}}{\xi}\left[\tfrac{\lambda_1}{4\delta_0}+2\lambda_5\left(1+\tfrac{1}{2\delta_0}\right)+\tfrac{\lambda_7}{\delta_0}+\lambda_9\left(\tfrac{1}{2\delta_1}+1\right)\right]-\tfrac{1}{4},\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}B_3=-\lambda_1\left(1-\bar{g}-\delta_0\right)-\tfrac{g(t)}{2}+\tfrac{\lambda_3}{4}\left(8k^2(0)+g(t)\right)+\lambda_4\tilde{K}(0)\\ +2\lambda_7\left(5+g(t)\right)+\lambda_5\left[4\left(\tfrac{1}{2}+\delta_0\right)+6k(0)\delta_0+\left[2(1+\bar{k})\delta_0+1\right]g(t)\right]+\lambda_6+\lambda_9\delta_1,\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}B_4=\left(\lambda_1+\lambda_3\right)\left(1+\delta_0+\bar{k}\right)+2\lambda_5\left[1+\tfrac{3(k(0)+k(t)+1)+(1+\bar{k})g(t)}{4\delta_0}+\delta_0\left(1+\bar{k}\right)^2\right]\\ +\tfrac{5\lambda_7+\lambda_8}{\delta_0}+\lambda_9\left[\left(1+\int_0^t k(s)ds\right)\left(\delta_1-g^*\right)+\delta_1\bar{g}\right]-\tfrac{k(t)}{2},\end{gathered}$$

$$B_5=-\lambda_2+\lambda_3\left[\frac{k(t)}{2}+\delta_0+g(t)+\frac{1}{4}\right]+2\lambda_6+\left(3\delta_0+2g(t)\right)\lambda_7+\lambda_8\delta_0,$$

$$B_6=\lambda_2-\lambda_3+3\lambda_6+\lambda_7\left(\frac{1}{10}+\delta_0\right)+\lambda_9\delta_1,\ B_7=-\lambda_4\gamma,\ B_8=2\delta_0\lambda_5+\frac{\lambda_3}{2},$$

$$B_9=\lambda_8-\lambda_1-\lambda_2-4\lambda_6,\ B_{10}=2k(0)\left(\lambda_3+3\delta_0\lambda_5\right)-\lambda_4,$$

$$B_{11}=\frac{\lambda_3}{15}-\lambda_6,\ B_{12}=\frac{15\lambda_3}{4}-\lambda_5,\ B_{13}=\lambda_7-\lambda_5$$

Let us take $\lambda_7 = \lambda_5 = \frac{15\lambda_3}{4}$, $\lambda_6 = \frac{\lambda_3}{15}$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{2}{5}\lambda_3$, $\lambda_8 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 4\lambda_6$ and use the assumption on g to find

$$\begin{aligned} L'(t) \leq{}& B_1(k\Box\psi_t) + B_2(|g'|\Box\psi_x) + B_3\left\|\psi_x\right\|^2 + B_4\left\|\psi_t\right\|^2 + B_5\left\|\varphi_t\right\|^2 \\ &-\tfrac{\xi}{4}(g\,\Box\,\psi_x) + B_6\left\|\varphi_x+\psi\right\|^2 + B_7\Lambda_4(t) + B_8 k(t)\left\|\psi_{0x}\right\|^2 \\ &+B_{10}\int_0^t |k'|\,(t-s)\left\|\psi_x(s)\right\|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Let us focus first on B_i, $i = 3, ..., 6, 10$. We need these coefficients to be negative, i.e.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\lambda_3}{4}\left(8k^2(0) + g(t)\right) + \lambda_4\tilde{K}(0) + 2\lambda_7(5+g(t)) + \lambda_6 + \lambda_9\delta_1 \\ +\lambda_5\left[4\left(\frac{1}{2}+\delta_0\right) + 6k(0)\delta_0 + \left[2(1+\bar{k})\delta_0 + 1\right]g(t)\right] < \lambda_1\left(1-\bar{g}-\delta_0\right) + \frac{g(t)}{2}, \\ (\lambda_1+\lambda_3)\left(1+\delta_0+\bar{k}\right) + 2\lambda_5\left[1 + \frac{3(k(0)+k(t)+1)+(1+\bar{k})g(t)}{4\delta_0} + \delta_0\left(1+\bar{k}\right)^2\right] \\ +\frac{5\lambda_7+\lambda_8}{\delta_0} + \lambda_9\left[(1+k_*)\left(\delta_1 - g^*\right) + \delta_1\bar{g}\right] < \frac{k(t)}{2}, \\ \lambda_3\left[\frac{k(t)}{2} + \delta_0 + g(t) + \frac{1}{4}\right] + 2\lambda_6 + \left(3\delta_0 + 2g(t)\right)\lambda_7 + \lambda_8\delta_0 < \lambda_2, \\ \lambda_2 + 3\lambda_6 + \lambda_7\left(\frac{1}{10}+\delta_0\right) + \lambda_9\delta_1 < \lambda_3, \\ 2k(0)\left(\lambda_3 + 3\delta_0\lambda_5\right) < \lambda_4. \end{array} \right. \tag{15}$$

We ignore δ_0, $g(t)$, $k(t)$ and the second condition for a moment. Using the above choices, we obtain (the fourth condition is trivially satisfied)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda_3\left(2k^2(0) + 46\right) + \lambda_4\tilde{K}(0) < \lambda_1\left(1-\bar{g}\right), \\ 2k(0)\lambda_3 < \lambda_4. \end{array} \right. \tag{16}$$

This is possible (and therefore λ_4 exists) if

$$\lambda_3\left(2k^2(0) + 2k(0)\tilde{K}(0) + 46\right) < \lambda_1\left(1-\bar{g}\right). \tag{17}$$

First, we select

- λ_1 large enough so that the condition in (17) is satisfied, then
- λ_4 so that both conditions in (16) are valid.
- Select δ_0 so small and t large so that the 1st, 3rd, 4th and 5th conditions in (15) (without the terms in δ_1) hold.
- Next, we pass to choose λ_9 large enough so that the second condition in (15) holds.
- Then, select δ_1 so small that the 1st, 2nd and 4th conditions (this time including the terms in δ_1) are satisfied.
- Finally λ_3 is selected so small that the first 2 coefficients in (14) are negative.

Therefore, we are left with

$$L'(t) \leq -C_1E(t) - C_2\Lambda_4(t) + C_3k(t),\ t \geq t_*$$

with $C_3 := \left(2\delta_0\lambda_5 + \frac{\lambda_3}{2}\right)\left\|\psi_{0x}\right\|^2$, and by the equivalence (11)

$$L'(t) \leq -C_4L(t) + C_3k(t),\ t \geq t_*$$

for positive constants C_i, $i = 1, ..., 4$.

Hence, for smaller C_4, $C_4 < \eta$, if necessary, we see that

$$E(t) \leq Me^{-C_5 t}, \ t \geq t_*$$

for some positive constants M and C_5, and thereafter this estimation holds for all $t \geq 0$ (with a different constant M).

Acknowledgment: The second author is grateful for the financial support and the facilities provided by Sultan Qaboos University through a project and King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals.

References

[1] Bontsema J, De Vries SA. Robustness of flexible systems against small time delays. In Proc. 27th Conference on Decision and Control, Austin, Texas, Dec. 1988.

[2] Chen H. Some improved criteria on exponential stability of neutral differential equation. Adv Diff Eqs 2012; 2012: 170.

[3] Datko R. Not all feedback stabilized hyperbolic systems are robust with respect to small time delays in their feedbacks. SIAM J Control Optim 1988; 26: 697-713.

[4] Datko R, Lagnese J, Polis MP. An example of the effect of time delays in boundary feedback stabilization of wave equations. SIAM J Control Optim 1986; 24: 152-156.

[5] Driver RD. A mixed neutral system. Nonlin Anal: T M A 1984; 8: 155-158.

[6] Eduardo Hernández M, Henriquez HR. Existence results for second order partial neutral functional differential equations. Dyn Cont Discrete Impul Syst Series A: Math Anal 2008; 15: 645-670.

[7] Eduardo Hernández M, Henriquez HR, McKibben MA. Existence of solutions for second order partial neutral functional differential equations. Integr Equ Oper Theory 2008; 62: 191-217.

[8] Grimmer R, Lenczewski R, Schappacher W. Well-posedness of hyperbolic equations with delay in the boundary conditions. Semigroup Theory and Applications, P. Clement, S. Invernizzi, E. Mitidieri, and I. Vrabie, eds., Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 116, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 1989.

[9] Guesmia A, Tatar NE. Some well-posedness and stability results for abstract hyperbolic equations with infinite memory and distributed delay. Comm Pure Appl Anal 2015; 14 (2): 1-35.

[10] Hale JK, Verduyn Lunel SM. Introduction to Functional Differential Equations. Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 99, Springer Verlag, New York, 2003.

[11] Hanyga A. Wave propagation in media with singular memory. Math Computer Modelling 2001; 34: 1399-1421.

[12] Hanyga A, Seredyńska M. Power-law attenuation in acoustic and isotropic anelastic media. Geophys J Int 2003; 155: 830-838.

[13] Hannsgen KB, Renardy Y, Wheeler RL. Effectiveness and robustness with respect to time delays of boundary feedback stabilization in one-dimensional viscoelasticity,. SIAM J Control Optim 1988; 26: 1200-1234.

[14] Kolmanovskii V, Myshkis A. Introduction to the Theory and Applications of Functional Differential Equations. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.

[15] Liu G, Yan J. Global asymptotic stability of nonlinear neutral differential equation. Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat 2014; 19: 1035-1041.

[16] Lokshin AA, Rok VE. Automodal solution of wave equation with delayed time. Uspehi Mat Nauk 1980; T33. No 6 (204): 221-222.

[17] Messaoudi S. General decay of solutions of a viscoelastic equation. J Math Anal Appl 2008; 341 (2): 1457-1467.

[18] Muñoz Rivera JE, Racke R. Timoshenko systems with indefinite damping. J Math Anal Appl 2008; 341: 1068-1083.

[19] Ntouyas SK, Sficas YG, Tsamatos PC. Existence results for initial value problems for neutral functional-differential equations. J Diff Eqs 1994; 114 (2): 527-537.

[20] Pata V. Exponential stability in linear viscoelasticity. Quart Appl Math 2006; LXIV, 3: 499-513.

[21] Ribodetti A, Hanyga A. Some effects of the memory kernel singularity on wave propagation and inversion in viscoelastic media – II. Inversion. Geophys J Int 2004; 158: 426-442.

[22] Rojsiraphisal T, Niamsup P. Exponential stability of certain neutral differential equations. Appl Math Comput 2010; 217: 3875-3880.

[23] Sánchez J, Vergara V. Long-time behavior of nonlinear integro-differential evolution equations. Nonlin Anal: T M A 2013; 91: 20-31.

[24] Soufyane A. Stabilisation de la poutre de Timoshenko. C R Acad Sci Paris Sér I 1999; 328: 731-734.

[25] Tatar NE. Exponential decay for a viscoelastic problem with singular kernel. Zeit Angew Math Phys 2009; 60 (4): 640-650.

[26] Tatar NE. On a large class of kernels yielding exponential stability in viscoelasticity. Appl Math Comp 2009; 215 (6): 2298-2306.

[27] Tatar NE. Arbitrary decays in linear viscoelasticity. J Math Phys 2011; 52 (1): 013502.

[28] Tatar NE. A new class of kernels leading to an arbitrary decay in viscoelasticity. Mediterr J Math 2013; 10 (1): 213-226.

[29] Wang J. Existence and stability of solutions for neutral differential equations with delay. International Conference on Multimedia Technology (ICMT), 2011, 2462-2465, 10.1109/ICMT.2011.6002527.

[30] Wang W, Fan Q, Zhang Y, S. Li S. Asymptotic stability of solution to nonlinear neutral and Volterra functional differential equations in Banach spaces. Appl Math Comput 2014; 237: 217-226.

[31] Wang WS, Li SF, Yang RS. Contractivity and exponential stability of solutions to nonlinear neutral functional differential equations in Banach spaces. Acta Math Appl. Sinica, English Series 2012; 28 (2): 289-304.

[32] Wu J, Xia H. Self-sustained oscillations in a ring array of coupled lossless transmission lines. J Diff Eqs 1996; 124 (1): 247-278.

[33] Wu J, Xia H. Rotating waves in neutral partial functional-differential equations. J Dynam Diff Eqs 1999; 11 (2): 209-238.

[34] Ye R, Zhang G. Neutral functional differential equations of second-order with infinite delays. Electron J Diff Eqs 2010; 2010 (36): 1-12.