Patients with COPD who underwent pulmonary rehabilitation in Turkey: prevalence, distribution, and mortality.

Background: Pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) is an effective, evidenced-based treatment. Despite its proven effect, it is still underused. The aim of this study was to present the number of patients with COPD who underwent PR, general mortality percentages, the rate of patients prescribed PR by pulmonologists, and the distribution of institutions where PR was performed between 2008 and 2016 in Turkey.

Methods: The documents were obtained from Turkish Institution of Social Insurance. Ages, sexes, numbers of patients with COPD who underwent PR between 2008 and 2016 were recorded. The number of patients with COPD who had been prescribed PR by physicians and the type of hospitals in which these patients underwent PR were identified. The general annual and the general total mortality rates between 2008 and 2016 among patients with COPD who underwent PR in 2008 were also determined.

Results: The mean age ranges of patients with COPD who underwent PR were 67.4±12.3 - 72.0±13.2 years, and 62.2% (n=60,852) of patients were male. The number of patients increased progressively, from 3214 to 18,664. The rate of patients prescribed PR programs between 2008 and 2016 was between 0.32-0.59% among all registered patients with COPD. 52.0-94.8% (5488 / 10,549 ; 16,792 / 17,707 patients, respectively) of the programs were prescribed by a pulmonologist. 62.9% (n=62,613) of patients received PR in secondary public hospitals.

The general annual mortality rates were between 6.2-11.1% (115 / 1855; 358 / 3214 patients) in patients who underwent PR in 2008, and the general total mortality rate was 52.8% (1696 / 3214 patients) over the 9-year period in the same patient group.
**Conclusion:** PR was still an underutilized approach in Turkey between 2008-2016. The awareness of PR should also be increased in our country. In order to achieve this, we think that PR should be within the scope of health policies.
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1. **Introduction**

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is defined as ‘a common, preventable, and treatable disease characterized by persistent respiratory symptoms and airflow limitation that are due to airway or alveolar abnormalities (or both), usually caused by significant exposure to noxious particles or gases’ [1]. COPD is characterized by decreased exercise capacity, dyspnea, worsened quality of life, and exacerbations, which result in deterioration of these symptoms. As result of these factors, both the progression and economic burden of the disease becomes a serious problem. It has been shown to cause 2.9 million deaths per year worldwide and is the third leading cause of global death [2].

Pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) is an effective, evidenced-based treatment modality in all symptomatic patients with chronic respiratory disease whose exercise capacity is reduced and quality of life is deteriorated, regardless of disease severity [1]. It has been demonstrated that PR is the most effective therapeutic approach for improving dyspnea, health status, and exercise tolerance [3]. Besides exercise capacity and quality of life, the evidence-based benefits are important or improving recovery time after hospitalization, reduction in perceived intensity of breathlessness, number of hospitalizations, and days in hospital. Additionally, it reduces hospitalizations among...
patients who have had recent exacerbations [1]. When considering these outcomes, it seems to be one of the most cost-effective therapeutic strategies.

In Turkey there are a limited number of pulmonary rehabilitation units though the number of patients with chronic respiratory problems is a growing burden. The prevalence COPD in the population aged over 40 years was reported to range from 9.1% to 19.1% in Turkey [4-7]; the national disease burden report revealed that COPD was the third leading cause of mortality and the eighth leading cause of disability [8]. There are several international studies that show the rates of mortality in patients with COPD receiving PR, but there is lack of data on mortality rates in those patients after PR programs in Turkey. There is only limited inconclusive evidence to show that PR has a significant beneficial effect on survival [9]. The aim of this study was to present the number of patients with COPD who underwent PR, general mortality percentages, the rate of patients prescribed PR by pulmonologists, and the distribution of institutions where PR was performed between 2008 and 2016 in Turkey.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Methods and Data Collection: This was a retrospective, observational, epidemiologic descriptive study. The data of our study were obtained from the Turkish Institution of Social Insurance. Calculated data was obtained because of Turkish Institution of the Social Insurance’s security policy. The Turkish Institution of Social Insurance covers almost (98.6%) the entire population of Turkey. The number of patients who were diagnosed as having COPD and followed up between 2008 and 2016 were scanned from the Oracle database using the TOAD data model program (version 9.6.0.27, Quest Software, USA). The total number of patients with COPD was recorded
according to years. The age, sex, and number of patients with COPD who underwent PR between 2008 and 2016 were recorded. The study was approved by the local ethics committee.

2.2 Patients’ characteristics: The patients were diagnosed by a specialist. Patients aged 18 years and over were scanned and patients aged over 40 years were selected. The number of patients with COPD who underwent PR applications in different types of hospitals was identified. The general annual and general total mortality rates between 2008 and 2016 among patients with COPD who underwent PR in 2008 were also determined.

2.3 Definitions: General mortality was defined as death of unknown causes. Annual mortality was calculated by the proportion of patients who died within one year to the number of patients surviving at the beginning of the year (only calculated among the patients who underwent PR in 2008). Total mortality was defined as the proportion of the number of patients who died up to that year to the number of survivors in the beginning of 2008 (only calculated among the patients who underwent PR in 2008).

2.4 Statistical analysis: The SAS Institute, Inc. program (Cary, North Carolina, USA) was used for descriptive statistical analyses. Categorical variables were expressed as numbers and percentages (%).

3. Results

The number of patients diagnosed as having COPD and followed up in 2008 was 720,903, which increased to 3,434,262 in 2016. From 2008 to 2015, the number of patients with COPD who underwent PR increased progressively, from 3214 to 18,664. In 2016, it was 17,707. The rate of patients who underwent PR was between 0.32-0.59%
between 2008 and 2016. The lowest rate was 0.32% (n=4043 and 6307 in 2009 and 2011), the highest rate was 0.59% (n=17,566 in 2014) (Table 1) (Figure 1). 52.0- 94.8% (5488/10,549; 16,792/17,707 patients, respectively) of the programs were prescribed by a pulmonologist between 2008 and 2016. The lowest rate was 52.0% (n=5488 in 2012), the highest rate was 94.8% (n=16792 in 2016). Additionally, it appears that the rate rose progressively from 2012 to 2016 (Table 1) (Figure 2). The number of male patients (n=60,852, 62.1%) was higher than that for female patients (n=37,018, 37.8%). The mean age ranges were 67.4±12.3 - 72.0±13.2 years (Table 1). The general annual mortality rates were between 6.2-11.1% (115/1855; 358/3214 patients, respectively) in patients who underwent PR in 2008, and the general total mortality rate was found as 52.8% (1696/3214 patients) from 2008 to 2016 (Table 2).

When the distribution of institutions where PR was performed was evaluated, the highest rates were in secondary public hospitals (n=62,613, 62.9%), followed by tertiary public (n=30,985, 31.1%), university (n=4838, 4.8%), private (n=967, 0.9%) and foundation hospitals (n=111, 0.1%), respectively. Although from 20011 to 2016 the number of patients with COPD who underwent PR applications in tertiary public hospital was increased progressively, the rates were variable in university, secondary public, private and foundation hospital from 2008 to 2016. Furthermore, the number of patients who presented to private hospitals from 2008 to 2016 decreased. (Table 3) (Figure 3).

4. Discussion

This is the first study to report the rates of patients with COPD who underwent PR in Turkey between 2008 and 2016, and to establish the number of patients with COPD
who were prescribed PR by pulmonologists in the same period. This study showed that the rate of patients who underwent PR was about 0.32-0.59%, there was a male predominance, and the mean age ranges were $67.4 \pm 12.3$ - $72.0 \pm 13.2$ years. The general annual mortality rates were 6.2-11.1% in patients who underwent PR in 2008, and the general total mortality rate was 52.8% over the 9-year period in the same patient group. The number of patients with COPD who underwent PR was found to increase progressively. It was shown that PR was prescribed to more than half of the patients by pulmonologists. Additionally, PR was mostly performed in public hospitals.

Despite the proven benefits of PR, referral to PR programs still remains poor worldwide, regardless of the accessibility of PR [10-12]. Various rates have been reported; it is estimated that <5% of eligible patients received PR annually [13,14], but in some reports it has been found higher with rates of 3-16% [15-19]. In their 2016 New Zealand audit, McNaughton et al. reported that only 2% of the expected COPD population was referred for PR, whereas in England and Wales, 68,000 (15.2%) of 446,000 eligible patients were referred [20]. A study investigated the frequency of referral for and attendance at PR among patients with COPD admitted to a tertiary Australian hospital in 2011; 57% of patients had been referred to PR at some stage of their disease, 18% had ever undergone PR, and only 8% had received PR within the previous 2 years [21]. This referral rate was similar to that reported 10 years previously in a different Australian hospital, in a review of 49 patients with COPD [22]. Similarly, two studies from the United Kingdom reported referral to rehabilitation at the time of admission as 3% (42/1400 patients) [23] and 18% (9/50 patients) [24]. It was emphasized that there was little change in referrals to PR in the last decade, in spite of the increased availability of programs and more widespread knowledge of effectiveness.
of PR. Keating et al. reported that uptake of PR had traditionally been poor, with up to half of the patients who were offered a course not attending PR sessions [25]. In our study, the rate of patients who underwent PR was about 0.32-0.59% per year between 2008 and 2016. The lowest rate was 0.32% in 2009 and 2011, and the highest rate was 0.59% in 2014. Our rates were lower than in other studies. This could be due to the fact that our study consisted of a higher number of patients with COPD. We suggest that it was likely due to the low number of PR center and units and low awareness of PR in both health professionals and patients. In our study, there were more male patients than female patients each year. The mean age ranges were 67.4±12.3 - 72.0±13.2 years. Similar to our study, in another study, the mean age of patients with COPD was 72±11 years, and the majority was male, as consistent with COPD features in the PR group [21].

In addition to the several benefits of PR described previously, PR has been associated with improved survival in patients with COPD after an acute exacerbation [26]; however, there are inconsistent data about improving survival in stable patients with COPD due to non-significant differences between mortality rates [27,28]. In a cohort study, survival was investigated in 158 patients who completed a PR program, 87% of whom had COPD, and the survival rate was 80% at 3 years after rehabilitation [29]. In other studies, survival rates were 91-95% at 1 year [30,31], and 73% at 4 years after rehabilitation [30]. Another study demonstrated that the survival rate was 67% at 6 years in the PR group [28]. In our study the general annual mortality rates were between 6.2-11.1% in patients who underwent PR in 2008, and the general total mortality rate was 52.8% over the 9-year period.
Several factors affect attendance and referral to PR programs. Suboptimal healthcare professional awareness of PR is currently a barrier to patient referral [32]. In a recent study, it was shown that one of the most important reasons for non-attendance or referral was a lack of knowledge of the effectiveness of PR [4]. For the purpose of increasing referrals of patients to PR, the awareness of PR among healthcare professionals should be increased, along with that of patients. Education and training programs are recommended even for pulmonologists in recent guidelines [33]. In a study conducted in Turkey, primary healthcare providers such as family practitioners and homecare staff were found to have inadequate information about COPD and PR [34]. In a Turkish survey study, it was reported that the levels of knowledge of chest physicians about PR was substantially in the range of low to moderate in a small city of Turkey [35]. In our study, the rates of patients with COPD whose programs were prescribed by pulmonologists were 50% and over from 2008 to 2016. It seems that the awareness of pulmonologists increased progressively from 2012 to 2016. In 2016, the rate was 94.8%.

Another possible factor that influences attendance and referral to PR programs is health systems and policies. In our study, when the distribution of institutions where PR was performed was evaluated, the highest rates per year were in secondary public hospitals. Secondary public hospitals were followed by tertiary public, private, and university and foundation hospitals, respectively. Although the number of patients with COPD who underwent PR applications in tertiary public hospitals from 2011 to 2016 increased progressively, the rates were variable in university, secondary public, private and foundation hospitals from 2008 to 2016. Furthermore, the number of patients who presented to private hospitals from 2008 to 2016 decreased. Since a patient may admit
more than one health institution, the calculated number of patients with COPD who underwent PR to be higher in means of institutional distribution.

The limitation of this study is the lack of information about the duration, content of PR programs, and the number of PR centers/units. Due to the lack of a definition of PR centers and units in Turkey, these data could not be obtained from the Turkish Institution of Social Insurance. The data for every patient could not be obtained because of the information security policy of the Turkish Institution of Social Insurance. The records in the Turkish Institution of Social Insurance began in 2017. Therefore, the number of patients diagnosed with COPD in 2008 may be lower than expected.

5. Conclusion

This study showed that although the awareness of PR, especially in pulmonologists, and the number of patients with COPD who underwent PR tended to increase in public hospitals, PR was still an underutilized approach in Turkey between 2008 and 2016. The awareness of PR should also be increased in our country. In order to achieve this, we think that PR should be within the scope of health policies. Further studies are needed to identify referral problems, and reasons for the lack of awareness of the effectiveness of PR.
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Table 1. Demographic features and ratio of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) who underwent pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) and the rate of PR prescribed by pulmonologists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Total registered patients with COPD n</th>
<th>Patients with COPD who underwent PR n (%)</th>
<th>Sex Male / Female n (%)</th>
<th>Age (year) Mean</th>
<th>Patients with COPD who prescribed PR by a pulmonologist n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>720,903</td>
<td>3214 (0.44)</td>
<td>2008 (62) / 1206 (38)</td>
<td>72.0 ± 13.2</td>
<td>1872 (58.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1,228,182</td>
<td>4043 (0.32)</td>
<td>2490 (62) / 1553 (38)</td>
<td>70.4 ± 13.6</td>
<td>2404 (59.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1,638,303</td>
<td>6847 (0.41)</td>
<td>4086 (60) / 2761 (40)</td>
<td>69.6 ± 13.6</td>
<td>4630 (67.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1,962,833</td>
<td>6307 (0.32)</td>
<td>3981 (63) / 2326 (37)</td>
<td>69.6 ± 13.7</td>
<td>3308 (52.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2,344,777</td>
<td>10,549 (0.44)</td>
<td>6322 (60) / 4227 (40)</td>
<td>68.7 ± 14.1</td>
<td>5488 (52.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2,662,513</td>
<td>12,973 (0.48)</td>
<td>8055 (62) / 4918 (38)</td>
<td>68.7 ± 13.2</td>
<td>8263 (63.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2,946,215</td>
<td>17,566 (0.59)</td>
<td>10,801 (61) / 6765 (39)</td>
<td>68.7 ± 12.9</td>
<td>15,598 (88.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>3,211,459</td>
<td>18,664 (0.58)</td>
<td>11,651 (62) / 7013 (38)</td>
<td>67.8 ± 12.9</td>
<td>17,465 (92.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>3,434,262</td>
<td>17,707 (0.51)</td>
<td>11,458 (65) / 6249 (35)</td>
<td>67.4 ± 12.3</td>
<td>16,792 (94.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. The general mortality rate of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) who underwent pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) in 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients n</th>
<th>Annual mortality n (%)</th>
<th>Total mortality n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>3214</td>
<td>358 (11.1)</td>
<td>358 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2856</td>
<td>292 (10.2)</td>
<td>650 (20.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2564</td>
<td>217 (8.5)</td>
<td>867 (26.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2347</td>
<td>197 (8.4)</td>
<td>1064 (33.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>148 (6.9)</td>
<td>1212 (37.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>147 (7.3)</td>
<td>1359 (42.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td>115 (6.2)</td>
<td>1474 (45.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1740</td>
<td>111 (6.4)</td>
<td>1585 (49.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1629</td>
<td>111 (6.8)</td>
<td>1696 (52.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Distribution of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) who underwent pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) according to hospital type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008 n</th>
<th>2009 n</th>
<th>2010 n</th>
<th>2011 n</th>
<th>2012 n</th>
<th>2013 n</th>
<th>2014 n</th>
<th>2015 n</th>
<th>2016 n</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secondary public</td>
<td>1481</td>
<td>2318</td>
<td>4146</td>
<td>3912</td>
<td>7957</td>
<td>9526</td>
<td>12357</td>
<td>11494</td>
<td>9422</td>
<td>62,613 (62.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary public</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td>2343</td>
<td>2039</td>
<td>2328</td>
<td>3263</td>
<td>4662</td>
<td>6633</td>
<td>7530</td>
<td>30,985 (31.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>4838 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private (secondary)</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>967 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>111 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number</td>
<td>3278</td>
<td>4118</td>
<td>6931</td>
<td>6368</td>
<td>10653</td>
<td>13127</td>
<td>17924</td>
<td>19002</td>
<td>18113</td>
<td>99514</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. The ratio of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) who underwent pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) among all registered patients with COPD.
Figure 2. The ratio of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) who underwent pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) prescribed by a pulmonologist.
Figure 3. Distribution of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) who underwent pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) according to hospital type